

arizona municipal water users association

4041 north central avenue • suite 900 • phoenix, arizona 85012 • phone (602) 248-8482 • fax (602) 248-8423

June 15, 2009

TO: Members of the Sub-Regional Operating Group Advisory Committee
of the Joint Municipal Water Reclamation System

FROM: Mr. Steve Dalton, Chairman, Tempe

SUBJECT: MEETING NOTICE AND TENTATIVE AGENDA

Thursday, June 18, 2009 - 1:30 p.m.
AMWUA Office - Boardroom
4041 North Central Avenue, Suite 900
Phoenix, Arizona 85012

AMENDED

The next meeting of the SROG Advisory Committee will be held at the above time and place. If you have any questions, please call the AMWUA office.

AGENDA

<u>Item</u>	<u>Action Requested</u>
1. <u>Call to Order</u>	
2. <u>Approval of the Minutes from the May 21, 2009 Meeting</u> Please refer to <u>Agenda Item #2</u> on white paper.	2. For information, discussion, and possible action.
3. <u>Set Next Meeting Date</u> The next regular meeting is scheduled for Thursday, July 16, 2009, at 1:30 p.m.	3. Set next meeting date.
4. <u>Information Items</u> The following items are provided for your information.	4. For information, discussion, and possible action.
A. <u>Capital Improvements Analysis of the 91st Avenue WWTP and Aging Report</u> Please refer to <u>Agenda Item #4A-1</u> on blue paper and <u>Agenda Item #4A-2</u> on canary paper.	
B. <u>SROG Statement of Revenues and Expenses - April 2009</u> Please refer to <u>Agenda Item #4B</u> on lilac paper.	

C. Construction Activities at the 91st Avenue WWTP

Enclosed is the May 2009 Construction Summary Report for the 91st Avenue WWTP.

Please refer to Agenda Item #4C on white paper.

D. SROG Operating Expenditures and Encumbrances

Enclosed is the information on expenditures for both fixed and variable costs, through the month of May 2009, at the 91st Avenue WWTP.

Please refer to Agenda Item #4D on gray paper.

- | | |
|---|---|
| <p>5. <u>JEPA Reporting Requirement</u>: Mr. Conway</p> <p>Greeley and Hansen will present their monthly update on the flows, COD, BOD, and TSS.</p> <p>Greeley and Hansen will present the ANPP flow demand and each city's flow for the month of June 2009.</p> | <p>5. For information, discussion, and possible action.</p> |
| <p>6. <u>NPDES/Aquifer Protection Permits</u>: Mr. Hollander</p> <p>The City of Phoenix will provide the Committee an update on the status of these permits.</p> | <p>6. For information, discussion, and possible action.</p> |
| <p>7. <u>UP05 Construction Activities</u>: Mr. Kinshella</p> <p>The City of Phoenix will update the Committee on the status of the UP05 construction activities.</p> | <p>7. For information, discussion, and possible action.</p> |
| <p>8. <u>JEPA Support Services</u>: Mr. Kinshella</p> <p>In November 2008, this Committee authorized the City of Phoenix to advertise for professional services to provide JEPA support services for Fiscal year 2010 through 2012. Interviews were conducted and authorization will be requested to negotiate a scope of services with the recommended firm.</p> | <p>8. For information, discussion, and possible action.</p> |
| <p>9. <u>91st Avenue WWTP Tres Rios Substation Emergency Repairs</u>: Mr. Walz</p> <p>The City of Phoenix will request authorization, under the Exceptions Clause of the SROG Procurement Policy, to execute a contract with Ludvik Electric for the</p> | <p>9. For information, discussion, and possible action.</p> |

replacement and repairs of the electrical cables damaged due to an electrical fault inside the main switchgear located in the Tres Rios Substation.

10. Digester Gas Sale

In May 2008, this Committee authorized the City of Phoenix to advertise for the sale of digester gas generated at the 91st Avenue WWTP. Interviews were conducted and authorization will be requested to negotiate a scope of services with the recommended firm.

10. For information, discussion, and possible action.

11. Election of Officers

Election of a chairman will be held for Fiscal Year 2009-10. If the normal rotation of officers is followed, Mr. Padilla, City of Phoenix, will become Chairman and Mr. Brotman, City of Glendale, will become Vice-Chairman.

11. For information, discussion, and possible action.

12. Adjournment

12. Adjourn.

DPS:tdw
h:\srog\sac-agenda\fy09\06-18-09 amended agenda.doc

MINUTES OF THE
SROG ADVISORY COMMITTEE
MEETING OF
JUNE 18, 2009

MEMBERS:

Steve Dalton, Chairman, Tempe
Richard Bradford, Mesa
Lawrence Brotman, Glendale
Chris Hassert, Scottsdale
Carlos Padilla, Phoenix

OTHERS:

Blaine Akine, Phoenix	Noe Naeole, Phoenix
Joshua Calandrelli, Phoenix	Gary Newman, Brown and Caldwell
Jeff Cowee, Phoenix	Chris Ochs, Glendale
Rich Distler, McCarthy	Roger Olsen, Phoenix
Brian Draper, Mesa	Steve Rohrer, Malcolm Pirnie, Inc.
Denny Fulton, Mesa	Don Sehorn, AMWUA
Bill Haney, Carollo	Bill Taebel, Mesa
Troy Hayes, Phoenix	Brian Taylor, PCL
Bob Hollander, Phoenix	Art Umble, Greeley and Hansen
Paul Kinshella, Phoenix	Praveen Vankeyala, Greeley and Hansen
Jeffrey Kracht, Malcolm Pirnie, Inc.	Tom Walz, Phoenix
Gus Lopez, Greeley and Hansen	Carl Westbrook, Glendale
Gina Lopker, Phoenix	Thalia Williams, AMWUA
Tom McLean, CH2M Hill	

1. CALL TO ORDER

Mr. Dalton called the meeting to order at 1:35 p.m.

2. APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES FROM THE MAY 21, 2009 MEETING

Upon a motion by Mr. Brotman, a second by Mr. Hassert and unanimous approval, the SROG Advisory Committee approved the minutes of the May 21, 2009 meeting.

3. SET NEXT MEETING DATE

The next regular meeting of the SROG Advisory Committee was scheduled for Thursday, July 16, 2009, at 1:30 p.m. Mr. Dalton said he spoke to Mr. Wetherell earlier today and he indicated that there will not be a JEPA Contract Negotiations meeting after today's meeting. He said the attorneys have just scheduled their meetings to review the completed draft agreement. After the attorneys perform their review, they will bring it to

the overall group. Mr. Bradford said they would like to have some advance notice if there will not be a meeting because some of Mesa's staff come specifically for the JEPA meeting. Mr. Dalton said he mentioned to Mr. Wetherell in this morning's phone call that better communication regarding the meetings would be helpful. Mr. Brotman suggested adding an item to the Advisory Committee meeting agenda on whether or not a JEPA meeting will be held after the meeting.

4. INFORMATION ITEMS

There was no discussion on Items A, B, C, or D.

5. JEPA REPORTING REQUIREMENT

Mr. Umble, of Greeley and Hansen, presented and distributed the monthly JEPA reporting information for the 91st Avenue WWTP for the month of April 2009. The COD was above projections by 141,000 pounds per day. He said this is the result of the continued loadings from the reduction in use of the SRO pump station at 23rd Avenue and additional residuals. TSS was above projections by about 41,000 pounds per day. For flow, actuals are very close to the projections. He said the flow would likely be higher except the SRO pump station has been off, resulting in more flow at the 91st Avenue WWTP.

Mr. Umble presented charts indicating the weekly versus monthly amounts for COD, TSS, and flow that are used to track the differences within the website. For April, there was a 3% adjustment made within the website for COD because the monthly event was higher than the sampling week. For TSS, there was an adjustment of about 7%; sampling week was lower than the monthly event. No adjustment was made for flow on the website.

Mr. Umble distributed and discussed the Daily Planning Envelope by SROG city for the month of July 2009. He said the demand is half an mgd less than it was in June. He noted the August demand projection will be approximately 82.7 mgd.

6. NPDES/AQUIFER PROTECTION PERMITS

Mr. Hollander, of the City of Phoenix, provided the Committee with a status update on the NPDES and Aquifer Protection Permits. He said the City of Phoenix received a pre-public notice draft NPDES Permit from the EPA on June 9, 2009, and copies were sent to the SROG member cities. He provided a brief summary of the NPDES Permit in which he identified and described the outfalls. He also discussed effluent limitations and monitoring requirements which include: E coli limit and monitoring at Outfall 001; ammonia limit and monitoring at Outfalls 001 or 002; total residual chlorine and monitoring Outfalls 001 or 002, monitoring for total residual chlorine only at other outfalls; sulfides limit and monitoring at Outfalls 001 and 002; chronic whole effluent toxicity (WET) limits and monitoring at Outfalls 001 or 002; limits and monitoring for other contaminants including Cd, Pb, Hg, Se, Cyanide, BEHP, diazinon, endosulfan, endrin and heptachlor epoxide; and, monitoring only for NDMA.

Mr. Dalton asked what prompted the sulfides limit and monitoring. Mr. Hollander said, when he looked at the reasonable potential analysis (which was included with the permit) it appeared that the sulfides data provided to the EPA was indeterminate so there should not be a limit, at the very most it should be an action level. He said they would comment on this issue.

Mr. Hollander discussed some special conditions which included 24-hour verbal and 5-day written notifications to EPA, ADEQ, and GRICDEQ; chronic WET, biosolids, pretreatment, sanitary sewer overflows, and pollution prevention plan requirements. He also reviewed permit issues: identify outfall at influent to FRW; evaluate water quality-based effluent limits (including WET); clarify monitoring requirements (pH and temperature for ammonia, split samples with WET, other); conditions that require coordination with GRIC; and, SSO reporting.

Mr. Hollander reviewed the next steps. The next steps are to add outfall at the influent to Flow Regulating Wetland (this item has been completed); the EPA is planning to visit the plant July 17; and, provide comments to the EPA on the draft permit. He said the EPA provided no specific due date for comments, but the EPA would prefer them prior to the July 17 visit. He said the City of Phoenix would like to have any comments from the SROG members on the draft permit by Friday, June 26, 2009. He said they will address minor issues and errors early and send final comments to the EPA the week of June 29, 2009, or by July 2, 2009, at the latest. The projected permit effective date is November 1, 2009.

Mr. Brotman asked, pertaining to the SSO issue, about a secondary reporting. He said that anyone with an Aquifer Protection Permit (APP) would already be reporting SSO's so they probably wouldn't have any additional requirements or activities, but for those that don't, this would be a new requirement. Mr. Kinshella asked if it had to be reported by the permit holder. He also asked how it would be reported by the SROG cities. Mr. Hollander said the permit is not clear; the City of Phoenix is the permit holder so at the very least they have to report, but would they report for all of the SSO's or just the ones in Phoenix. He said this is a question they have asked the EPA.

Mr. Dalton said he has not looked at the draft permit yet, but has asked for comments from Tempe staff by June 25. He said he will compile them to send to Mr. Hollander by June 26. He asked for a copy of Mr. Hollander's Power Point presentation; Mr. Sehorn said he would e-mail it to each of the SROG Advisory members. Mr. Dalton said many of the main issues Mr. Hollander pointed out were on the fact sheet and it appeared these concerns were discussed based on what we expected. Mr. Hollander said most of the things in the permit were not surprises. He added that some of the technology based limits will always be in the permit; it is the water quality based limits they need to look at carefully. He said they have seen mercury, cyanide, and BEHP in permits before, but things like diazinon haven't been seen before. He said the limit in the permit is based on the Federal standard, not the State standard, so they will look at that issue and how the Federal standards play in these permits. He said States are generally required by the Clean Water Act to develop standards for the States; as such, does that allow the Federal government to put Federal standards into permits? He said this is a legal issue they will

look into and compare all of their reasonable potential analyses with the State standards to make sure it is done correctly.

Mr. Dalton asked about reporting and inspection rights with the Gila River Indian Community (GRIC). Mr. Hollander said he thinks that because the GRIC are a close neighbor and have commented on the previous draft permit, the EPA is being accommodating. He said the GRIC has also requested to visit the Plant when the EPA comes on July 17, 2009.

Regarding the Aquifer Protection Permit (APP), Mr. Hollander said they met with the Arizona Department of Environmental Quality (ADEQ) on May 26, 2009, to discuss issues related to the response to Comprehensive Requests for Additional Information they received from ADEQ. In that request, ADEQ requested revising BADCT demonstration to not include soil aquifer treatment; the location of the sampling point at FRW influent; revise Pollutant Management Area (PMA) and Discharge Impact Area (DIA); identify Point of Compliance (POC) and Theoretical POC wells; and other administrative and technical issues associated with the UP05 project. He said they also discussed the Tres Rios pump station in which a 90% design will be submitted with the response to the comprehensive response. The design is almost a mirror image to the UP05 pump station and, with little or no delay, they can incorporate the Tres Rios Pump Station into this current amendment. The Overbank Wetlands will be addressed in a future amendment to the APP because the design is not very far along.

Mr. Hollander discussed the next steps with the APP. He said that last week they submitted the response to the comprehensive request. He said he has a call into the ADEQ to make sure they received it and discuss some scheduling and timing issues. He said they hope to have a draft APP in the next several weeks and if they do, they will present it at the next Advisory Committee meeting. The projected final permit is November 1, 2009, although they will push to receive one sooner than that.

7. UP05 CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES

Mr. Olsen, of the City of Phoenix, Construction Management Division, provided the Committee with an update on the status of the UP05 construction activities. He provided the budget and schedule status for both Projects A and B. Project A is PCL and Black & Veatch and Project B is McCarthy and Malcolm Pirnie. He distributed a copy of his handouts to the Committee members. He said no detailed construction information would be presented. He introduced representatives from each of the contractors that were available to answer any detailed construction questions.

Mr. Olsen said that UP05 Project A original contract with PCL was \$43.65 million; there was no contractor's contingency; the owner's contingency was \$1.45 million and there have been some changes; and, the owner's contingency remaining is \$1 million. They have not written any change orders to increase the contract amount. The amount paid to the contractors to-date is \$33.67 million (77% of the total contract amount). He said the notice to proceed was issued on November 19, 2007; the contractual substantial completion date is December 21, 2009; however, the contractor estimates completion 45 days early. Final completion date will be 90 days after reaching substantial completion.

He said they are doing very well on the schedule. The construction administration contract with Black & Veatch is \$5.3 million; there has been one change order issued for \$200,000; the adjusted contract amount is \$5.5 million; the amount paid out, to-date, is \$2.185 million (40% of the total contract amount).

Mr. Olsen said that the UP05 Project B original contract with McCarthy was \$105 million; the contractor's contingency is \$2.36 million; there is \$2.082 million remaining in the contingency; the owner's contingency began at \$2.81 million and still has \$1.24 million remaining. He said notice to proceed was issued February 4, 2008; they issued a deductive time change order because in the GMP the contractor noted that they intended to finish 137 days ahead of the contract time allowed. Substantial completion on the new schedule is estimated to be May 31, 2010, with final completion 60 days after substantial completion. He said they are 50% through the schedule, based on time; the construction administration contract with Malcolm Pirnie, Inc. was \$12.85 million; no change orders have been processed; the amount paid to-date is \$4.29 million, which is 33% of the overall contract compared to 56% of the work completed.

In response to a question from Mr. Padilla, Mr. Olsen said that most of the construction administration contract will be spent in commissioning. Mr. Kinshella said, as a reminder, that part of the drawdown in the owner's contingency on Project A is the work they are doing with APS. He said PCL will be building the new diversion structure to the APS pipeline; an agreement has been signed with APS and a purchase order has been received with APS paying the \$2.5 million for the construction of the diversion structure.

Mr. Dalton noted that both Projects A and B are significantly ahead of schedule. He said they appreciate the work everybody involved has done for the project and noted that it was much more reflective of how we usually do an expansion. Mr. Kinshella noted the condition of the construction site and how tidy and orderly it looks, as compared with the previous project, on the new aerial photograph of the 91st Avenue WWTP. Mr. Padilla noted that he can arrange a tour of the plant for the SROG Advisory members if they are interested. He suggested the Committee provide some potential dates to him and he would set up a tour. Mr. Kinshella said they could also tour the Tres Rios Regulating Wetlands at the same time.

8. JEPA SUPPORT SERVICES

Mr. Akine, of the City of Phoenix, said this project was for professional services to provide JEPA support services for Fiscal Year 2009 through 2012. He said that on June 12, 2009, three (3) consultants were interviewed for this work. The firms were Greeley and Hansen, Carollo Engineers, and Wilson Engineers. He said through the normal SROG procurement process the firm Greeley and Hansen was selected for these services.

Mr. Akine requested authorization to negotiate a scope of services and fees with Greeley and Hansen. Mr. Hassert asked about the term of the contract. Mr. Akine said it was a three-year initial contract with two (2) one-year extensions. He said they would be bringing back the extensions for approval by this Committee on a yearly basis.

Mr. Dalton asked if all three firms were notified of the selection. Mr. Akine said yes. Mr. Dalton said all three firms did a good job with their presentations during the interviews and thanked them for their effort.

Mr. Padilla said funds for these services are available in the O&M Budget for the 91st Avenue WWTP.

Upon a motion by Mr. Padilla, a second by Mr. Bradford and unanimous approval, the SROG Advisory Committee authorized the City of Phoenix to negotiate a scope of services with Greeley and Hansen to provide professional JEPA Support Services to the SROG Advisory Committee for Fiscal Years 2009-10 through 2011-12.

9. 91st AVENUE WWTP TRES RIOS SUBSTATION EMERGENCY REPAIRS

Mr. Walz, of the City of Phoenix, requested authorization, under the Exceptions Clause of the SROG Procurement Policy, to execute a contract with Ludvik Electric for the replacement and repairs of the electrical cables damaged due to an electrical fault inside the main switchgear located in the Tres Rios Substation. He said that on June 10, 2009, at 12:30 p.m., there was a major power outage in which there was a large yellowish gray cloud coming from the substation. He presented photographs of the affected area and described the damage in detail. He said the area affected was the "B" bay, which is a 20 megawatt transformer and its associated switchgear. There was a large explosion within the switchgear cabinet in which it blew the doors off; the damage to the 20 megawatt transformer was cosmetic. The current that faulted was high enough to create some acetylene gas in the transformer oil, but after testing, the transformer survived and the damage was only cosmetic there as well.

Mr. Walz said the majority of the damage was on the discharger line side of the breakers on the bottom. He said when it failed they did not de-energize the transformer although it stayed hot and did not fault. The trip breakers on the other side of the panel tripped and most of the damage was to the discharger line side of the breakers at the bottom. He said the lightning arrester was basically vaporized and is gone completely; the switchgear survived, but the cabinet and bus system did not and cannot be rebuilt or reused. He said they are looking to replace the cabinet as quickly as possible.

Mr. Walz said, because 91st Avenue WWTP is an end-of-the-line plant, they mobilized generator sets. He said the cost is approximately \$300,000 per month and they are proceeding as quickly as possible to find a way to replace the cabinet. He said they are currently speaking with a firm in San Francisco, California, to see if they can build a new unit out of parts they have in stock. The problem is that the cabinets are obsolete and the new cabinet will not bolt in like the previous cabinet did. They will also replace the cables at this station at that time, so to facilitate the replacement in the least costly manner, they have purchased \$240,000 worth of shielded 100 mcc cable. The cables are in place and they are going to lay a parallel feeder on the ground to provide more redundancy; it will be switched over next week. At that time, they will reassess the situation as to whether or not they will continue to keep the generators on site. He said

the cost to perform the necessary repairs is approximately \$800,000. He said they will capitalize it and take the funds out of replacement funds which are available for this purpose. An update will be provided to the Committee once the work is complete.

Mr. Dalton asked what caused the electrical fault. Mr. Walz said they believe an animal got in the cabinet and it blew an insulator apart which was a dead short that drew the current. He said that whatever animal got in was vaporized and only some organic materials were found; there was nothing left to indicate what kind of animal it was. The cabinets were supposed to be tight cabinets and they appeared to be sealed; Ludvik Electric will verify the conduits were properly sealed. He said they may never know the exact cause. Mr. Dalton said if it is discovered the cabinet wasn't sealed properly, there may be a problem with construction. Mr. Walz reminded him that this was a problematic contract; they had a failure of the conduits during that contract.

Mr. Walz said they have mobilized Ludvik Electric, the foreman knew what to do, was able to move very quickly, and had the generators set in place within 18 hours. He is also working with Malcolm Pirnie, Inc. to ensure the cables are right, perform the investigation, and do the design and repair. He said this is maintained by SRP; therefore they have to work closely with SRP to get the project completed.

There was discussion on how this project was going to be billed to the SROG cities. Mr. Padilla asked if Mr. Walz had a price for this work from Ludvik Electric. Mr. Walz said they did not have a firm price; at this point they are tracking the costs and he estimates the cost to be approximately \$800,000. Mr. Padilla asked if he was comfortable asking for an amount of \$800,000 and Mr. Walz said it was just an estimate to get the process started. Mr. Walz said the funding is available for CIP replacement, they will just rearrange funds. He said they will try to keep the cost at a minimum.

There was much discussion on how this project would be funded. Mr. Kinshella said Mr. Walz mentioned that this is coming from the replacement fund. Ms. Lopker said they transferred funds from the Operating Budget to the CIP and set it up as a regular CIP project. Mr. Kinshella said they are basically funding this project by a transfer of operating funds into a CIP number so that they can contract with Ludvik Electric. He said there will not be a bill generated separately for this line item to SROG; it will be coming from what the cities are paying towards plant operations. Ms. Lopker said no, that they actually transferred money from the operating budget to the CIP budget and created a brand new project. Mr. Kinshella said Ms. Lopker was suggesting transferring the money from the Operating budget to the CIP budget and still going to bill SROG under the CIP program for the money transferred. Ms. Lopker said right, but they never billed them, it was just budget; \$300,000 budget, they didn't have any expenditures. Mr. Calandrelis said it was originally going to come from the Replacement Fund project, which is WS90100001, for next year. Mr. Walz said it would not be billed under a capital project; it would come out of the Operating Fund. Mr. Sehorn said it has already been collected through their Operating Fund payment. Mr. Calandrelis said there is money in the replacement fund and that Ms. Olson indicated to him that she wanted this to go through the CIP. Mr. Kinshella said the reason is that she wants to put it down as a capital asset, not to be billed under the CIP.

Mr. Dalton said he wasn't sure they were all communicating clearly on the funding issue. Mr. Walz said if anything changes they would let the SROG Advisory Committee know. He said their plan is capitalizing it through the CIP replacement. Ms. Lopker agreed. Mr. Walz said Phoenix will get back to the Committee on the clarification regarding the billing concerns.

Mr. Padilla said they would clarify the billing and this action is only for the purpose of contracting with Ludvik Electric. He said it is his understanding that no additional funding is needed for this work, they just need to clarify where the funds will come from and how they will put the costs through to the SROG cities.

Mr. Padilla said this is not in the CIP Cash Flow, but funds are available.

Upon a motion by Mr. Padilla, a second by Mr. Brotman and unanimous approval, the SROG Advisory Committee recommends to the SROG Committee that the City of Phoenix be authorized, under the Exceptions Clause of the SROG Procurement Policy, to contract with Ludvik Electric for \$800,000 for the replacement and repairs of electrical cables at the Tres Rios Substations.

10. DIGESTER GAS SALE

Mr. Akine, of the City of Phoenix, reported that on May 26, 2009, members of the SROG cities interviewed five (5) teams for a firm to assist with the utilization, and/or sale of the digester gas at the 91st Avenue WWTP. They were Black & Veatch and Sempra Energy; MMR Group and Carollo; PCL and Epcor and Stantec; Quantum Biofuel with Malcolm Pirnie and McCarthy Building Systems; and, Veolia Energy and Brown and Caldwell. He said the selection process chose Black & Veatch/Sempra Energy for this work.

Mr. Akine requested authorization to negotiate a scope of services with Black & Veatch. Mr. Kinshella noted that they are looking to prepare a press release statement that would come from the mayor of the City of Phoenix. He asked if any of the SROG cities' mayors would like to release it as well. He asked the Advisory members if they would get back to them. Mr. Akine asked that comments be provided to Mr. Cowee by next Tuesday. Mr. Dalton asked for a copy of the press release. Mr. Akine said a draft copy of the press release would be provided, once it was developed. The press release would be sent to Mr. Sehorn for distribution to the SROG cities.

Upon a motion by Mr. Padilla, a second by Mr. Hassert and unanimous approval, the SROG Advisory Committee authorized the City of Phoenix to negotiate a scope of services with Black & Veatch/Sempra Energy for the sale of digester gas generated at the 91st Avenue WWTP.

11. ELECTION OF OFFICERS

Mr. Dalton reported that if the normal rotation of officers is followed, Mr. Padilla, City of Phoenix, would become the Chairman and Mr. Brotman, City of Glendale, would become the Vice Chairman for the upcoming fiscal year.

Upon a motion by Mr. Dalton, a second by Mr. Bradford and unanimous approval, the SROG Advisory Committee elected Mr. Padilla, City of Phoenix, as Chairman, and Mr. Brotman, City of Glendale, as Vice Chairman for Fiscal Year 2009-2010.

12. ADJOURNMENT

Upon a motion by Mr. Brotman, a second by Mr. Hassert and unanimous approval, the meeting was adjourned at 2:47 p.m.

TDW:dsp:mla
h:\srog\sac-minutes\F09\06-18-09.min.doc